Previous references.

L.O. 136-09 (1&2)

1940

(L. B)

File No. 364-09

Serial Nos. 1

Later references.

LB 65-12. 1-3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1

Part B for June, 1940

SUBJECT.

Adjustment of Contributions from Local Funds General to the Municipal and District Boards

---

G.O. No 11027-37 S 29.6.40. To the Comptroller, Presd.ys of Dt. & Munl. Boards, the Engineer & Rev. Comr.

Passes orders in the matter of adjustment of contributions from ~~the~~ Local Funds General to the Distt. and Munl. Boards either as general grants-in-aid or grants for specific works.

G.R.S. 11] 1900-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Local Boards)

NOTES.

File No. 364/09

FILE HEADING.

Adjustment of Contributions from Local Funds General to the Municipal and District Boards

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies	Serial No.

0010001

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

For previous reference please see the note of L.B. 136-09 B. Dec 1909 Sht 1-2 of which these notes are in continuation

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—15,000 - 24-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. 1364 of 1909-10

Serial No. 28

V

The question raised in these notes is whether in accordance with G.O. 1st order dated 19th Feb 1904 the allotments for Revenue works in the L.F General Budget should, as soon as the budget is passed be transferred to District Funds or whether the general principle (enunciated by the Comptroller) of making adjustments to the extent that works are carried out is suitable to follow.

Page 6 of these notes.

99 Under the former procedure the ~~Diss~~ Swelling of the balance at the credit of District Funds to the detriment of L.F. General is inevitable in case the grants are not fully worked out during the year but the allotments are intended to be grants-in-aid for urgent works which can not be carried out from District Funds (Please see para 2 of G.O. No. 7383-97/LB 269-6/4 dated 28th January 1908)

P

30 In case the general principle laid down by the Comptroller has to be followed provision for incomplete works will have to be made in the L.F General Budget and lists of incomplete works ~~Illegible~~ undertaken from Local Funds General will have to be submitted in addition to such lists pertaining to DF works.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. X364 of 1909-10

2765

3 ff

Serial No.

1 Nuss the two ~~kinds of work~~ works undertaken from these funds will have to be kept separate. This does not seem to be consistent with the principle laid down in para 2 of G.O. No 7383-97/LB269-07-4 d 28th Jan 08. Nevertheless Moreover the latter procedure is not supported by a G.O. order and so will have to be authorized.

Submitted for orders.

KP sng 7.1.10.

32 Forwarded to Br II for kindly stating which of the two courses suggested in the note above, it is desirable to follow.

10.1.10.

Mell

Ger Dir 11/1/10

H Secn No 494

33 Submitted

N 12/1/10

mkr 12/1/10.

34 The procedure to be followed in making the adjustment referred to depends on the nature of the Contribution. If the Contribution paid from the Local Funds General to the District and Municipal Bds is a general grant in aid, tho' fixed with reference to the estimated expenditure on particular works; the transaction is closed by crediting the amt to the Bd Concerned & it is unnecessary

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

to watch whether the actual expenditure on the particular works is more or less than the Contribution given or to make an adjustment in respect of any excess or deficiency. If from the administrative point of view, the Contributions made from the Local Funds General can be of this character, the arrangement would be simple & acceptable financially also.

If however, the contributions are for particular works & the object is to give the District and Municipal Bds neither more nor less than the cost of particular works then there are two alternative Courses that may be followed:- Either the amount should be credited to the Bd Concerned by debit to L.F. General at the beginning of the year and the difference bet^n the actual expenditure & the estimated expenditure on which the Contribution is calculated, adjusted at the close of year or the expenditure as it

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

incurred should be charged to the Bd Concerned & on Completion of the work, an amount equal to the total charge on the work ~~with~~ should be credited to the Bd by debit to the A.G. General. There is a third alternative also, namely, the arrangement of adjusting the Contribution from A.G. General to the Bd Concerned monthly as the expenditure on the particular work is incurred. This arrangement is inconvenient as it requires a No of adjustments before the transactions in connexion with a work are closed. If it is necessary to regulate the Contribution from the A.G. General with ref to the actual expenditure on particular works it is preferable to credit the entire amount of Contribution based on the Estimated Expenditure, to the Bd Concerned at the beginning of the year or when the Contribution is Sanctioned and to adjust the difference at the close of the year or

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

When the work concerned is Completed.

36 Under this arrangement it is necessary to keep a Separate acct of the Contribution works & Compare the actual expenditure on them with the Contribution Calculated on the Estimate. In the above rules Allusion is made to Cases in which the Contribution given exceeds ~~the~~ actual Expenditure & the resulting gain to the Dt or Mr. ~~Case~~ at the expense of the L Govt. There may also be Cases in which the Contribution given may fall short of actual expenditure and it is not clear if the Object is that in such Cases the Excess should be met made by a Corresponding addition to the Contribution to be paid by the L Govt.

37. To regulate the adjustment of Contribution by reference to actual expenditure is inconvenient & the arrangement of a general Contribution without reference to particular

GPB—55,000—27-4-10

Serial No.

49th

works is to be preferred financially if found advisable from the administrative point of view. The adoption of this arrangement need not however dispense with any Control required to be exercised with a view to see that the works financed out of Contributions from the G.I. General are actually carried out. A report or Return to exercise this check may be prescribed & the action called for taken on such report or return.

13-1-10

To Bo IR

38 Submitted

KP.Sy 19th January 1910.

39. The arrangement suggested in the last para of Mr. Krishna Rao's note above seems to be the best - The Comptroller may also be consulted.

1st Cr 20-1-10.

40 Please consult the Comptroller.

759
24-1-10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

2765 8 46

LB File No. 4364 of 1909-10.

Serial No. 41

The Contribution from L.S.G. is an account of particular works and we have to see that these works are actually carried out and the money spent. While excess if any should be met by the District Board, the savings if considerable should be recredited to the L.S.G. as the Dist Board cannot be allowed to make a profit out of the transaction. I am however open to conviction on this point.

K.M.
22/1/10.

00100001

No. no. 1198
25-1-10

42 Forwarded to the Comptroller for favour of opinion.

By order
K. Meyarkkao
24.1.10. for Secy.-

Note 109 Dt 9/2/10.

R/131a.

43 The ordinary grants, to the Dist Funds + municipalities from the L.S.G. within the prescribed limits for small works may be treated as absolute grants. It should however be open to Govt to order in the case of

IV
11/2

11/2

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

comparatively larger works, that if the grant is not fully utilized the balance should be recorded to the L.P. fund. Such cases would, I think, be rare.

JM 9/2/10

X. See pages 14 & 15 of the notes.

44. A.C.'s X note on the subject is also placed below.

JM 9/2/10

45 Submitted.

KP.org 1st Cr 12.2.10. JWW.

11th Feb 1910.

1084 14.2.10

46 Orders may issue as above. It should be enjoined that a report of the completion of work should be submitted to Govt in the case of works for which a grant is made from Provincial funds or L.P. General.

47. Put up draft & submit to Devon through 1st Cr (being an important matter).

CJ 14/2/10

48 Draft submitted.

KP 15/2/10

15th Feb 1910.

49 The Comptroller refers in his note above to ordinary

JWW 14.2

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

grants and to small works and larger works - These seem to require explanation - Draft submitted anyhow as directed

18 Cr) 18.2.10. JMW

50. The draft order should be more precise - For instance the Dist Board may take 2 yrs to complete the work for which contribution is made This should be permissible Where a grant is made to supplement a deficient closing balance it should be considered an absolute grant.

51. A money limit may be prescribed in consultation with Br II

19/2/10

52 Forwarded to Br II for favour of opinion

19.2.10. JMW

Submitted. 19/2/10

Please add the Case-53 Workers to Enemies

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-9-10

27.2.10 To Br II

Serial No.

581

84 Any money limit that is imposed will be arbitrary. If any limit should be imposed it can be either in respect of the amount of Contribution for each work or of the Saving effected in the Contribution given for a work. As the Object of the arrangement of receiving by 2d General the unspent amounts Contributed by it is to prevent the District Municipal Boards from benefiting at the expense of 2d General, it would be more reasonable to fix the money limit in regard to the Saving Effected in the Contribution allowed for particular works, if any limit should be fixed at all. It may be laid down that in the event of there being a Saving of over Rs 100 in the Contribution given (for a particular work) from 2d General to District or Municipal Bds, such Saving should be credited back to 2d General. If the Saving is Rs 100 or less no receipt need be insisted on. Under this proposal the Contributions given will

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

be credited to the Bd Concerned at the beginning of the Year or when they are sanctioned and on the closing of Works and on the issue of Completion Certificates (whatever the time taken for Completion) the Saving Effected may be ascertained & such Saving, if it exceeds Rs 100 for each work may be received to Govt Head

2.3.10

To Br IV

55 Submitted for orders.

K P Sg 1st Cr 4.3.10.

3/3/1910

1319
5.3.10.

56 Approved

5/3/10

57 Put up draft accordingly

5.3.10.

58. Draft revised and submitted for approval.

K P Sing 1st Cr 8.3.10.

5th March 1910.

1353
10.3.10

59. Approved

10/3/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

2765 13 21

2B File No. 8864 of 1909-10

Serial No.	
	60 Draft submitted for approval Dewan) 10.3.10. Mill)
	4967/12.3.10
16/4/10	Draft approved Issue 13.6.10. Mill
1	To the Comptroller / the Chief Engineer / the Revenue Commr. / the President of Dist Bd } re GR 11027-37 dated 29th June 1910
	Directs that the contribution from the Local Govt Board Fund should be credited in full in case the contributions are in the nature of a general grant-in-aid.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

The general principle enunciated by the Hon'ble Commissioner is as a rule adopted in the case of Contributions made from general revenues to Local Funds except when such Contribution is ~~not~~ made to improve the low balances - And there is no reason why the same rule in the case of grants-in-aid made from Local Funds to General should not be adopted.

7 But as observed by Mr. Krishna Rao (para 36 to 37) the maintenance of a separate account of the Contribution works by the Presidents of Dist Boards so as to regulate the adjustment of Contributions from Local Funds funds general by reference to the actual expenditure is somewhat inconvenient. And seeing that the total grant from L. F. to D. Funds is now fixed at Rs 12000 per annum ordinarily and that each district does not in the average get more than Rs 3000 the question arises - whether it is worthwhile to maintain separate accounts and watch how much ~~has~~ is actually spent on the Contribution works, in order that ~~any~~ the savings accruing may be credited to Local Funds fund. - In fact there is little chance of there being Considerable savings to the District Funds at the expense of the Local Funds Genl. It will also be seen that the demands made by District Boards for Contributions from Local Funds

P

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

A

Vide Printed note Dt 2-99 explaining.

are generally much larger than Dt: are able to make - And in consideration of the fact that the Local Funds part was separated from the Dt: Fund Dept: under the contrl: of Dept: ment for the purpose of meeting local needs in any part of the province, it seems but fair that the Dt: Boards should have the full benefit of the grant that Dt: may be able to make in aid of their funds after considering all the demands before them -

The procedure that is now adopted in regard to the adjustment of grant-in-aid is, I think, simple and workable. In the L-F. G. model allotments are made in lump to the several Local Boards. But such grants may not ordinarily be utilised & the Residents before obtaining sanction of Govt: on separate reference furnishing details of works proposed to be undertaken during the year. Out of the grants made, When the contribution made is less than the amount of the estimate, or where it is made in view of raising the low balances of a particular fund, the allotments made in the model may be transferred immediately to the benefit of the particular Local Fund. The framing of the budgets of 1909-10 appears to need report the above view.

B

Note—Contn. sheet.

Please see paras 7 & 8.
(Sample).

10
9.2.10.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08.

4967

G.R.S. 28.]

File No. 364
Serial No. 07

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(LB)

V

, *the* 19

Date of issue 29.6.10

Signed by Mr BTK

READ—

Para 2 of G.O. No 3068-76/47320-96 dated 19th February 1904 directing that the grants occasionally made from the Local Funds General for incomplete and special works to be carried out by the Revenue Department, should be treated as Contribution to District Funds

2) Para 2 of G.O. No 7383-97/LB 269-07-4 dated 28th January 1908 defining the nature of the works for which grant-in-aid from Local Funds General shall be

ORDER THEREON.—No

over

SUBJECT.

GPE—5,000—7-5-09

be allotted and the limit to
which the grants may extend
Comptroller's
3) ~~of~~ Note no 95 dated 22nd November
1909 stating that the sum of Rs 1750
provided in the Local Fund
General Budget for 1908-09
under 57 Contribution to works (Well work)
in the Kadur District was not
credited to District funds as the
Order of 1904 read above is not
applicable to a case of this kind
and that the general principle
in such cases is to make adjustments
to the extent that works are carried
out and not to treat the amount
merely provided in the L.F. General
Budget as an absolute grant
in aid irrespective of the execution
of works at the expense of the
District funds in the first instance
4) The same officer's note No 109
dated 9th February 1910 suggesting
that the ordinary grants to the District funds and municipal
and Municipalities from the Local Funds General
for small works may be treated as absolute grants,

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

that that in the case of Comparatively Larger works the balance of the grant not fully utilized, should be recredited to Local Funds-General

Order thereon. 11027-37 Dis. 314-136-09-929-6-10. If the Contribution made from the Local Funds General to the District and Municipal Boards is a general grant-in-aid, though fixed with reference to the estimated expenditure on particular works, the transaction is closed by crediting the amount to the Board concerned and it is unnecessary to watch whether the actual expenditure on the particular works is more or less than the Contribution given or to make an adjustment in respect of any excess or deficiency.

2. If the Contributions are for particular works, they should be credited to

+

e Boards concerned at the beginning of the year or when they are sanctioned and on the submission of Completion Certificates. after the closing of the works, the Saving effected in the case of each work should be ascertained and such Saving, if it exceeds Rs 100 should be recredited to Local Funds General.

3. In the case of works for which a grant is made from Provincial Funds or Local Funds General a report of completion of work should be submitted to Government.

Illegible
for Sfy. Secretary
7/3/10.

7/3/10

Do

The Comptroller
" Presidents of District and Municipal Boards.
" Chief Engineer
" Revenue Commissioner

may the order be printed
Yes
Two Copies
each to the Comptr
and two copies
each to

Issued
Copies of the above to the Revenue Branch and Municipal Section for info

for Sfy to Govt

KP
7.3.1910.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Local Fund and Sanitary (Local Boards), dated 29th June 1910.

READ—

Para 2 of Government Order No. 3068-76—L. F. 320-96, dated the 19th February 1904, directing that the grants occasionally made from the Local Funds General for incomplete and special works to be carried out by the Revenue Department, should be treated as contribution to District Funds.

2. Para 2 of Government Order No. 7383-97--L. B. 269-07-4, dated the 28th January 1908, defining the nature of the works for which grants-in-aid from Local Funds General may be allotted and the limit to which the grants may extend.

3. Comptroller's note No. 95, dated the 22nd November 1909, stating that the sum of Rs. 1,750 provided in the Local Funds General budget for 1908-09 under B. Contribution to works (well works) in the Kadur District was not credited to District Funds, as the order of 1904 read above is not applicable to a case of this kind, and that the general principle in such cases is to make adjustments to the extent that works are carried out and not to treat the amount merely provided in the Local Funds General budget as an absolute grant-in-aid irrespective of the execution of works at the expense of the District Funds in the first instance.

4. The same officer's note No. 109, dated the 9th February 1910, suggesting that the ordinary grants to the District and Municipal Funds from the Local Funds General for small works may be treated as absolute grants, but that in the case of comparatively larger works the balance of the grant not fully utilized should be recredited to Local Funds General.

No. 11027-37—L. B. 364-09-1, DATED BANGALORE, 29TH JUNE 1910.

ORDER THEREON.—If the contribution made from the Local Funds General to the District and Municipal Boards is a general grant-in aid, though fixed with reference to the estimated expenditure on particular works, the transaction is closed by crediting the amount to the Board concerned and it is unnecessary to watch whether the actual expenditure on the particular works is more or less than the contribution given or to make an adjustment in respect of any excess or dificiency.

2. If the contributions are for particular works, they should be credited to the Boards concerned at the beginning of the year or when they are sanctioned; and on the submission of completion certificates after the closing of the works, the saving effected in the case of each work should be ascertained and such saving if it exceeds Rs. 100 should be recredited to Local Funds General.

3. In the case of works for which a grant is made from Provincial Funds or Local Funds General, a report of completion of work should be submitted to Government.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Comptroller, Mysore Government.
The Presidents of District and Municipal Boards.
The Chief Engineer of Mysore.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Exd.—C. V.

1909-10

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

LOCAL FUND AND SANITARY
(LOCAL BOARDS)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 29th June 1910

00100001

No. 11027-37
L. B. 364-09-1

Passes orders in the matter of adjustment of contributions from Local Funds General to the District and Municipal Boards either as general grants-in-aid or grants for specific works.

GPB—20-7-10—275